

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,573	03/29/2004	Mark Thomas	6488P008	3693
8791	7590 05/20/2005		EXAM	INER
22:	SOKOLOFF TAYLO	NEILS, PEGGY A		
	12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGE	LES, CA 90025-1030		2875	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		到			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/812,573	THOMAS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Peggy A. Neils	2875			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roon. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 February 2005</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte</i> Q <i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) <u>1-19,21,23-48,50-62</u> is/are reject	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-19,21,23-48,50-62</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) 20,22,49 and 63 is/are objected	Claim(s) <u>20,22,49 and 63</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ments have been received.				
Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in A	Application No			
Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	-'	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2875

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-63 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6-12, 15, 18, 19, 23- 29, 34-42, 45, 48, 50-56, 59 and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aichele.

Aichele shows an illuminated vehicle wheel which includes at least one light source 26 positioned in the center of the wheel on a protruding hub 28. The light source is shielded b a cap 74 which preferably has a reflective surface at 76. Light from the light source enters light conducting portion (projector) 90 which functions as a fiber optic conductor (see col. 6, line 16). The disclosure states that light is emitted in a predetermined pattern adjacent wheel 12. Light conducting member is readable as a waveguide.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,573

Art Unit: 2875

Claims 5, 13, 14, 16, 17, 30-33, 43, 44, 46, 47, 57, 58, 60 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aichele.

To coat the surface of the wheel is a design choice depending on the material of the wheel and how much natural reflection is provided by the surface. The prior art shows many arrangements for lighting on a wheel. Aichele teaches providing the lighting system at the center of the wheel. To orient the lighting system differently would depend on the desired lighting appearance and would not affect the operation of the device.

Claims 1 and 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dai.

Dai shows in Figure 1, an illuminated wheel wherein two lights 218 are positioned in one direction that would permit light to be reflected outward away from the structure of the wheel and two lights positioned in an opposite direction which would not reflect light outward.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 20, 22, 49 and 63 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 20, 49 and 63 all contain the limitation that a portion of the light is directed though a micro-optic prism array sheet collimating layer. This combination of limitations was not suggested or taught by the prior art.

Art Unit: 2875

Claim 22 is considered to have allowable subject matter because Claim 22 sets forth that the additional light assemblies are operated independently of the one or more light assemblies. This combination of limitations was not shown or suggested by the prior art.

Conclusion

Any questions regarding this Office action should be directed to Examiner Neils at (571) 272-2377.

Sandra O'Shea
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800